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#### Abstract

The method of functional equations is applied to evaluate the effective conductivity tensor for a rectangular array of highly conducting cylinders. The Rayleigh sum $S_{2}$ is calculated by Eisenstein and Weierstrass functions. Approximate analytical formula for the effective conductivity tensor are deduced.
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## 1. Introduction

Composite materials play an important role in many branches of engineering. Typically, in such materials, the physical parameters (such as electrical and heat conduction, elasticity coefficient, ...) are discontinuous and vary between the different values characterizing each of the components. When these components are intimately mixed, these parameters vary very rapidly and the microscopic structure becomes complicated. On the other hand, we may expect to get a good approximation of the macroscopic behavior of such a heterogeneous material by a special kind of averaging of the properties of components. For engineers it is interesting to know formulae relating the macroscopic (effective) and microscopic properties, because it allows media to be created with unusual properties and the optimal design problem to be discussed. The results are of interest in thin films to calculate their optical properties, where films consisting of columns of one material in a matrix of another material are observed. In the field of materials physics two phase materials containing fibre inclusions often occur. Knowledge of their electrical or thermal conductivities is valuable in applied physics.

In the present paper we study the electrical properties of a rectangular array of cylinders. The same formalism and results are immediately applicable to many other problems governed by Laplace's equation; e.g. thermal conductivity, dielectric constant, permeability, modulus of torsion.

The problem of calculating the effective transport properties of a rectangular array of cylinders has received much attention. A number of workers have been inspired by a seminal paper of Lord Rayleigh [1]. McPhedran et al. [2-6] obtain an infinite set of linear algebraic equations for the multiple coefficients, which can be truncated to give various low-order formulae to calculate the effective conductivity tensor

$$
\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\lambda_{e}^{x} & \lambda_{e}^{x y} \\
\lambda_{e}^{x y} & \lambda_{e}^{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$




Figure 1. The rectangular array of cylinders and the unit cell.
of a square or hexagonal array of cylinders. Sangani and Yao [7] proposed an efficient method to calculate $\Lambda_{e}$ for a square cell containing many cylinders whose size and location of the centers are arbitrary. The latter method is based on the same infinite system as in the method of Rayleigh. Bergman [8] derived an analytic representation of $\Lambda_{e}$ related to the bounds on the coefficients of $\Lambda_{e}$. The latest results in this direction are represented by Bergman and Dunn [9] and Clark and Milton [10]. Using the method of collocation, Kolodziej [11-12] calculated numerically $\Lambda_{e}$ of regular arrays of cylinders. Mityushev [13-19] applied the functional equation method to derive exact and approximate analytic formulae for arbitrary doubly periodic cell with arbitrary circular inclusions.

The case of highly conducting cylinders requires special attention. McPhedran et al. [5] calculated the square-array transport coefficient for arbitrary high cylinder conductivity and arbitrary small cylinder separations. Asymptotic formulae have been deduced, and their accuracy has been discussed.

In the present paper an asymptotic analysis of Mityushev's functional equation is applied to study the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ for a rectangular array of cylinders. The method of functional equations is extended to the case of highly conducting cylinders. This method allows us to consider rectangular arrays. It generalizes the results [2-7] devoted only to square and triangular arrays of cylinders. The final formulae for $\Lambda_{e}$ are written in terms of the modified Eisenstein functions which are closely related to the elliptic functions. It is shown that such a representation leads to analytic asymptotic formulae with given arbitrary accuracy with respect to volume fraction. The formulae (26), (27) and (28) obtained in Section 5 are of practical interest, because many thin films with interesting electrical, mechanical and optical properties exhibit a columnar structure.

## 2. Functional equation

Consider a lattice $Q$ defined by two fundamental translation vectors $\alpha>0$ and $\mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1}$ in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. The zero cell $Q_{0}$, the basis of $Q$, is the rectangle $\left\{z=x+\mathrm{i} y=t_{1} \alpha+t_{2} \mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1}\right.$, $\left.-1 / 2<t_{j}<1 / 2, j=1,2\right\}$. The area of cell $Q_{0},\left|Q_{0}\right|=1$. Let $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ be an ordered set of the complex numbers $m_{1} \alpha+m_{2} \mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1}$ arranged in accordance with the Eisenstein summation method (see Section 3). Here $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are integers, $e_{0}:=0$. The lattice $\mathcal{Q}$ consists of the cells $Q_{j}=Q_{0}+e_{j}:=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}, z-e_{j} \in Q_{0}\right\}$.

Consider the disk $D_{1}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z|<r\}$ in the zero cell $Q_{0}$. Let $D:=Q_{0}-\overline{D_{1}}$. We study the conductivity of the doubly periodic composite material, when the domains $D+e_{j}$ and $D_{1}+e_{j}$ are occupied by materials of unit and $\lambda_{1}>0$ conductivity, respectively (Figure 1).

The potentials $u(z)$ and $u_{1}(z)$ are harmonic in $D+e_{j}$ and $D_{1}+e_{j}(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$ with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}=\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial n} \quad \text { on } L \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial / \partial n$ is the normal derivative, $L:=\{z \in \mathbb{C},|z|=r\}$. The external field is applied in the $x$-direction

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(z+\alpha)=u(z)+\alpha, \quad u\left(z+\mathrm{i} \alpha^{-1}\right)=u(z) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the conductivity of the inclusions $D_{1}+e_{j}$ tends to infinity, then condition (1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{1} \quad \text { on } L \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}$ is a constant. It is problem defined by (3), (2) that is discussed in the present paper. It is convenient for us to start by considering problem (1), (2) with finite $\lambda_{1}$. Then it is assumed that $\lambda_{1} \rightarrow \infty$.

Following Mityushev [15, 19] we reduce problem (1), (2) to a functional equation. We use the normal and tangent derivatives on the curve $L$

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial n}=\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} ; \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s}=-\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}
$$

where $\mathbf{n}=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ is the normal vector to $L$. Applying the operator $\partial / \partial s$ to the first relation (1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\sin \theta \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second relation (1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\lambda_{1} \cos \theta \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}+\lambda_{1} \sin \theta \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the complex potentials

$$
\psi(z):=\frac{\lambda_{1}+1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \phi(z):=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}
$$

which are analytic in $D_{1}$ and $D$, respectively, and continuous in the closures of the domains considered. Substituting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}+1}(\psi+\bar{\psi}), \quad \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\lambda_{1}+1}(\psi-\bar{\psi}), \\
& \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{1}{2}(\phi+\bar{\phi}), \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}(\phi-\bar{\phi})
\end{aligned}
$$

in (4), (5) we obtain the following $\mathbb{R}$-linear problem [15]

$$
\phi(t)=\psi(t)+\rho \overline{n^{2} \psi(t)}, \quad t \in L
$$

with respect to the functions $\phi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ which are analytic in $D_{1}$ and $D$, respectively. Here $\rho:=\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right)\left(\lambda_{1}+1\right)^{-1}$ is a Bergman's parameter [8-9], the normal vector $\mathbf{n}$ is represented as a complex number $n:=\cos \theta+\mathrm{i} \sin \theta$. We denote the position inside domains by the complex variable $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$; the position along $L-$ by the complex variable $t=r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$. Calculating $\overline{n^{2}}=(\cos \theta-\mathrm{i} \sin \theta)^{2}=(r / t)^{2}$ we arrive at the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\psi(t)+\rho\left(\frac{r}{t}\right)^{2} \overline{\psi(t)}, \quad t \in L \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equalities (2) imply that the function $\phi(z)$ is doubly periodic in $\mathbb{C}$.
Following [15-16, 19] we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2}:=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2}-e_{j}^{-2}\right]+S_{2}=\mathcal{P}(z)-z^{-2}+S_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the series

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2} \overline{\psi\left(\overline{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)} \\
& \quad:=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2}\left[\overline{\psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)}-\overline{\psi(0)}\right]+\overline{\psi(0)} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{j}^{-2}=S_{2}:=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sinh ^{-2}\left(\pi m \alpha^{-2}\right)\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{P}(z)$ is the Weierstrass function [21]. Formula (8) is discussed in the next section.
Introduce the function

$$
\Phi(z):= \begin{cases}\psi(z)-\rho r^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)}, & |z| \leqslant r \\ \phi(z)-\rho r^{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)}, & z \in D\end{cases}
$$

Using (6) and applying the principles of analytic function theory, Mityushev [15] has proved that $\Phi(z) \equiv 1$. This relation yields the following functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\rho r^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)}+1, \quad|z| \leqslant r \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to the function $\psi(z)$ analytic in $|z|<r$ and continuous in $|z| \leqslant r$.
We now proceed to calculate the component

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x}=\iint_{D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\lambda_{1} \iint_{D_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

of the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$. Using Green's formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iint_{D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\partial Q_{0}} u \mathrm{~d} y-\int_{L} u \mathrm{~d} y \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2) we have

$$
\int_{\partial Q_{0}} u \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{-(1 / 2 \alpha)}^{1 / 2 \alpha}\left[u\left(-\frac{\alpha}{2}+\mathrm{i} y\right)-u\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}+\mathrm{i} y\right)\right] \mathrm{d} y=1
$$

The integral $\int_{L} u \mathrm{~d} y$ is calculated by the mean value theorem of harmonic functions in a disk. We have

$$
\int_{L} u \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{L} u_{1} \mathrm{~d} y=v \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}(0)
$$

where $v=\pi r^{2}$ is the area fraction of the inclusions. Hence, $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ takes the form

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x}=1+\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) v \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x}(0)
$$

Similar arguments applied to

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x y}=\iint_{D} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+\lambda_{1} \iint_{D_{1}} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y
$$

yield the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}^{x y}=\left(\lambda_{1}-1\right) v \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y}(0) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Two real relations (10) and (11) imply one complex equality

$$
\lambda_{e}^{x}-\mathrm{i} \lambda_{e}^{x y}=1+2 \rho v \psi(0)
$$

We note that symmetry of the problem implies $\lambda_{e}^{x y}=0$. Hence, $\psi(0)$ is real and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}^{x}=1+2 \rho v \psi(0) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the conductivity of cylinders $\lambda_{1}$ is much greater than the conductivity of the host 1 , then one can assume that $\lambda_{1}=+\infty$, and hence $\rho=1$. The functional equation (9) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=r^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(z-e_{j}\right)^{-2} \overline{\psi\left(\frac{r^{2}}{\overline{z-e_{j}}}\right)}+1, \quad|z| \leqslant r \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Convergence of the method of successive approximations for Equation (13) in the case $|\rho|=1$ has not been previously investigated. In the present paper this convergence question is also not addressed. We propose only a simple algorithm to get approximate analytic formulae for $\psi(z)$ and $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ with arbitrary given accuracy.

## 3. Elliptic functions according to Eisenstein

In order to calculate the effective transport conductivity tensor Rayleigh [1] introduced a conditionally convergent sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{j}^{-2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined in the following way

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}:=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{m_{1}=-N}^{N} \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m_{2}=-M}^{M}\left(m_{1} \alpha+\mathrm{i} m_{2} \alpha^{-1}\right)^{-2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and calculated by (8). The method of summation in (14) is determined by (15). The sum $S_{2}$ in (15) was introduced by Eisenstein. A modern survey of the Eisenstein approach is due to Weil [20]. In the present section Eisenstein functions and their relation to the Rayleigh sums are discussed.

Eisenstein introduced the following functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(z):=\sum_{j}\left(z+e_{j}\right)^{-n} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n \geqslant 3$, then the series (16) is absolutely convergent. If $n=1$ or $n=2$ Eisenstein defined these series as (15) by the following summation method

$$
\sum_{e}:=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left(\sum_{m_{1}=-N}^{N} \lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m_{2}=-M}^{M}\right)
$$

The function $E_{2}(z)$ can be represented by the absolutely convergent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(z)=\left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha}\right)^{2}\left[\sin ^{-2}\left(\pi z \alpha^{-1}\right)+2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sin ^{-2}\left(\pi \alpha^{-1}\left(z+\mathrm{i} m \alpha^{-1}\right)\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Eisenstein function $E_{2}(z)$ is related to the Weierstrass function $\mathcal{P}(z)$ by the formula

$$
E_{2}(z)-S_{2}=\mathcal{P}(z)
$$

where $S_{2}$ has the form (15). This formula implies the equality

$$
S_{2}=\left(E_{2}(z)-\mathcal{P}(z)\right)_{z=0}=\left(E_{2}(z)-z^{-2}\right)_{z=0}
$$

since $\left(\mathscr{P}(z)-z^{-2}\right)_{z=0}=0$. Using (17) we arrive at formula (8).
The Eisenstein function $E_{1}(z)=\sum_{e}\left(z+e_{j}\right)^{-1}$ is related to the Weierstrass function $\zeta(z)$ by the formula

$$
\zeta(z)=E_{1}(z)+S_{2} z
$$



Figure 2. The Rayleigh sum $S_{2}(X)$ calculated with (18).

Using the relations

$$
\zeta(z+\alpha)-\zeta(z)=2 \zeta(\alpha / 2), \quad E_{1}(z+\alpha)-E_{1}(z)=0
$$

we obtain the fundamental equality

$$
S_{2}=\alpha^{-1} 2 \zeta(\alpha / 2)
$$

It follows from elliptic function theory [21] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{\alpha^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{3}-8 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m h^{2 m}}{1-h^{2 m}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h=\exp \left(-\pi \alpha^{-2}\right)$. So we have proved that the formulae (8), (15) and (18) must give the same result. The formula (18) is very effective in calculation, because $h \leqslant \exp (-\pi) \approx 0.043$ for $0<\alpha \leqslant 1$. Mityushev [18] proved the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)+S_{2}\left(\alpha^{-2}\right)=2 \pi \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{2}$ is considered as a function on $\alpha^{2}$. The formula (19) allows us to calculate $S_{2}, \alpha>1$. The function $S_{2}(X)$ is represented in Figure 2.

Introduce the modified Eisenstein functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}(z):=E_{n}(z)-z^{-n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with their Taylor expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}(z)=(-1)^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\binom{l+n-1}{n-1} S_{l+n} z^{l} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $S_{2}$ is defined by (15) and calculated by (8) or (18). The sums

$$
S_{n}:=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e_{j}^{-n}, \quad n=3,4, \ldots
$$

are absolutely convergent. It is known [21] that $S_{n}=0$ for odd $n$ and $S_{n}$ are real for even $n$. The sums $S_{n}$ take real values in general only for rectangular arrays of cylinders.

## 4. Method of Rayleigh

The method of Rayleigh [1] has been discussed in [2-20] and others. Starting from Equation (13) we can obtain an infinite system of linear algebraic equations following this approach. We look for a function $\psi(z)$ from (13) in the form of the Taylor expansion

$$
\psi(z)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} z^{m}
$$

Substituting this expansion in (13) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{m} z^{m}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha_{m}} r^{2(m+1)} \sigma_{m+2}(z)+1, \quad|z| \leqslant r \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{n}(z)$ are the modified Eisenstein functions (20). Substituting (21) in (22) we obtain the infinite $\mathbb{R}$-linear algebraic system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{l}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{m}\binom{l+m+1}{m+1} S_{l+m+2} r^{2(m+1)} \overline{\alpha_{m}}+\delta_{l 0}, \quad l=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to $\alpha_{l}$. Here $\delta_{l 0}$ is the Kronecker symbol. Calculating the real part of (23), we arrive at the Rayleigh system.

We do not use the system (23) to calculate $\psi(z)$ and the effective conductivity tensor. We note only that the coefficients $\alpha_{l}(l=0,1,2, \ldots)$ satisfying (23) are analytic functions on $r^{2}$. Therefore, the function $\psi(z)=\psi\left(z, r^{2}\right)$ is analytic in $r^{2}$.

## 5. Solution to Equation (13)

It follows from the previous section that we can look for $\psi(z)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(z)=\psi\left(z, r^{2}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(z) r^{2 m} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitute (24) in (13) and select the terms with $r^{2 m}(m=0,1,2, \ldots)$. In the results we have the following scheme of successive approximations to calculate $\psi_{m}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}(z)=1, \quad \psi_{m}(z)=\sum_{l+s=m-1} \overline{\psi_{l s}} \sigma_{s+2}(z), \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{l}(z)=\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \psi_{l s} z^{s}$. The finite sum $\sum_{l+s=m-1}$ contains the terms in $l=m-1, s=0$; $l=m-3, s=2 ; \ldots ; l=0, s=m-1$. It follows from (21) that $\sigma_{n}(0)=(-1)^{n} S_{n}=0$ for odd $n$, and $\sigma_{n}(0)=S_{n}$ for even $n$. Therefore, $\psi_{l s}=0$ for odd $s$.

We calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{1}(z)=\overline{\psi_{00}} \sigma_{2}(z)=\sigma_{2}(z)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(l+1) S_{l+2} z^{l}, \\
& \psi_{2}(z)=\overline{\psi_{10}} \sigma_{2}(z)=\sigma_{2}(0) \sigma_{2}(z)=S_{2} \sigma_{2}(z), \\
& \psi_{3}(z)=\overline{\psi_{20}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{02}} \sigma_{4}(z)=S_{2}^{2} \sigma_{2}(z), \\
& \psi_{4}(z)=\overline{\psi_{30}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{12}} \sigma_{4}(z)=S_{2}^{3} \sigma_{2}(z)+3 S_{4} \sigma_{4}(z), \\
& \psi_{5}(z)=\overline{\psi_{40}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{22}} \sigma_{4}(z)=\left(S_{2}^{4}+3 S_{4}^{2}\right) \sigma_{2}(z)+3 S_{2} S_{4} \sigma_{4}(z), \\
& \psi_{6}(z)=\overline{\psi_{50}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{32}} \sigma_{4}(z)+\overline{\psi_{14}} \sigma_{6}(z) \\
& =\left(S_{2}^{5}+6 S_{2} S_{4}^{2}\right) \sigma_{2}(z)+3 S_{2}^{2} S_{4} \sigma_{4}(z)+5 S_{6} \sigma_{6}(z), \\
& \psi_{7}(z)=\overline{\psi_{60}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{42}} \sigma_{4}(z)+\overline{\psi_{24}} \sigma_{6}(z) \\
& =\left(S_{2}^{6}+9 S_{2}^{2} S_{4}^{2}+5 S_{6}^{2}\right) \sigma_{2}(z)+3 S_{4}\left(S_{2}^{3}+10 S_{6}\right) \sigma_{4}(z)+5 S_{2} S_{6} \sigma_{6}(z), \\
& \psi_{8}(z)=\overline{\psi_{70}} \sigma_{2}(z)+\overline{\psi_{52}} \sigma_{4}(z)+\overline{\psi_{34}} \sigma_{6}(z)+\overline{\psi_{16}} \sigma_{8}(z) \\
& =\left(S_{2}^{7}+12 S_{2}^{3} S_{4}^{2}+10 S_{2} S_{6}^{2}+30 S_{4}^{2} S_{6}\right) \sigma_{2}(z) \\
& +3 S_{4}\left(S_{2}^{4}+3 S_{4}^{2}+10 S_{2} S_{6}\right) \sigma_{4}(z)+5 S_{2}^{2} S_{6} \sigma_{6}(z)+7 S_{8} \sigma(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (25) we can calculate the next functions $\psi_{2 n}(z)$.
These equalities and (12) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{e}^{x}= & 1+2 v \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(0) \pi^{-m} v^{m}=\frac{1+v\left(2-S_{2} / \pi\right)}{1-v S_{2} / \pi}+\frac{6 S_{4}^{2} \pi^{-4} v^{5}}{\left(1-v S_{2} / \pi\right)^{2}} \\
& +10 S_{2} S_{6}\left(2+3 v S_{2} / \pi\right) \pi^{-7} v^{7}+60 S_{4}^{2} S_{6}\left(1+2 v S_{2} / \pi\right) \pi^{-7} v^{7} \\
& +2\left(9 S_{4}^{4}+7 S_{8}^{2}\right) \pi^{-8} v^{9}+O\left(v^{10}\right), \quad \text { as } v \rightarrow 0 \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us consider the components $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ and $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ of the effective conductivity tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ as functions on $\alpha^{2}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{e}^{y}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)=\lambda_{e}^{x}\left(\alpha^{-2}\right) .
$$

This formula allows us to calculate $\lambda_{e}^{y}=\lambda_{e}^{y}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$, using (26). If we change $\alpha^{2}$ into $\alpha^{-2}$, then $S_{2}\left(\alpha^{-2}\right)=2 \pi-S_{2}\left(\alpha^{2}\right)$ in accordance with (19). The lattice sums $S_{2 n}(n \geqslant 2)$ do not change. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{e}^{y}= & \frac{1+v S_{2} / \pi}{1-v\left(2-S_{2} / \pi\right)}+\frac{6 S_{4}^{2} \pi^{-4} v^{5}}{\left(1-v\left(2-S_{2} / \pi\right)\right)^{2}} \\
& +10 S_{2} S_{6}\left(2+3 v\left(2-S_{2} / \pi\right)\right) \pi^{-7} v^{7} \\
& +60 S_{4}^{2} S_{6}\left(1+2 v\left(2-S_{2} / \pi\right)\right) \pi^{-7} v^{7} \\
& +2\left(9 S_{4}^{4}+7 S_{8}^{2}\right) \pi^{-8} v^{9}+O\left(v^{10}\right), \quad \text { as } v \rightarrow 0 . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 3. The effective conductivity coefficient of a square array of cylinders: curve 1 calculated with (30); curve 2 calculated with (28) and curve 3 calculated with (29).

The tensor $\Lambda_{e}$ has the form

$$
\Lambda_{e}=\left(\begin{array}{lr}
\lambda_{e}^{x} & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_{e}^{y}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ and $\lambda_{e}^{y}$ are calculated with (26) and (27).
For a square array of cylinders the effective conductivity is a scalar value $\lambda_{e}=\lambda_{e}^{x}=\lambda_{e}^{y}$, and $S_{2}=\pi, S_{4} \approx 3 \cdot 1512112, S_{6}=0, S_{8} \approx 4.2557732$. Then (26) and (27) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}=\frac{1+v}{1-v}+6 S_{4}^{2} \pi^{-4} \frac{v^{5}}{(1-v)^{2}}+2\left(9 S_{4}^{2}+7 S_{8}^{2}\right) \pi^{-8} v^{9}+O\left(v^{10}\right), \quad \text { as } v \rightarrow 0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in (28) corresponds to the well-known Clausius-Mossotti approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e}^{x} \approx \frac{1+v}{1-v} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We compare formulae (28), (29) with the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{e} \approx 1+2 \rho v /\left(1-\rho v-\frac{0.305827 v^{4}}{\rho^{-2}-1.402958 v^{8}}-0.013362 \rho^{2} v^{8}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho=1$ from [6] in Figure 3. It is hard to say which formula is better. We know only the lower bound $\lambda_{e} \geqslant(1+v) /(1-v)$ for perfectly conducting cylinders. Both formulae (28) and (30) satisfy this inequality and contain the terms up to $O\left(v^{9}\right)$. Anyway, one can calculate the next terms $\psi_{m}(z)(m>9)$ by (25) and improve (28).

## 6. Concluding remarks

The Rayleigh sum $S_{2}$ is calculated by Eisenstein and Weierstrass functions for the rectangular array of cylinders. So it is justified that all different previous definitions of the Rayleigh sum of second order give the same result.

The method of functional equations is extended to the case of highly conducting cylinders. This allows us to deduce a simple iterative scheme (25) and an approximate analytical formulae (26) and (27) for the components of the effective conductivity tensor. We note that formulae (26) and (27) are valid for arbitrary rectangular arrays, formula (30) from [6] has been deduced only for a square array.

It is easily seen that the maximum area fraction of the inclusions $v_{\max }$ depends on $\alpha$ by the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\max }=\frac{\pi}{4} \min \left(\alpha^{2}, \alpha^{-2}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This corresponds to touching cylinders. Formula (28) can also be applied to $v=v_{\max }$, but for $\alpha$ separated from 1 . In the case when $v$ is close to $v_{\text {max }}$ and $\alpha=1$ we cannot use an expansion of $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ in a neighborhood of the point $v=0[4,5]$. Hence, formula (28) cannot be applied in this case.


Figure 4. The coefficient $\lambda_{e}^{x}=\lambda_{e}^{x}\left(\alpha^{2}, v\right)$, where $\alpha=$ $0 \cdot 1,0 \cdot 2, \ldots, 3$, the area fraction $v$ changes along $x$ axes.


Figure 5. The coefficient $\lambda_{e}^{x}=\lambda_{e}^{x}\left(\alpha^{2}, v_{\max }\right)$, where $v_{\text {max }}$ is calculated with (31).

Figure 4 presents $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ as a function on $v$ with different fixed $\alpha$. Here the restrictions (31) are given in account. The curves in Figure 4 end in the points (31) and show the maximum possible value $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ for fixed $\alpha$. Of course the maximum $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ tends to infinity if $\alpha$ tends to 1 . See McPhedran et al. [4-5]. Figure 5 presents the maximum possible $\lambda_{e}^{x}$ as a function $\alpha$ with $v_{\max }$ calculated by (31).

Formulae (26) and (27) are easy to calculate and can be simply used in applied physics to evaluate macroscopic properties of thin films and materials containing fibre inclusions. See McPhedran et al. [2-6].
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